Cosmic Ray Streaming in Galaxy Clusters



Joshua Wiener jwiener@astro.wisc.edu

Acknowledgements



Ellen Zweibel UW Madison



Peng Oh UC Santa Barbara



Fulai Guo Shanghai Astronomical Observatory

Galaxy Clusters



Radio Haloes



Brown and Rudnick 2011

Radio Haloes



Ensslin et al 2012

Hadronic Model





.

Hadronic Model





Possibly detectable in gamma rays and neutrinos

CR Transport - Alfvén Waves



CR Transport - Alfvén Waves





CR Transport - Alfvén Waves





Resonance condition

$$k_{\parallel}=rac{1}{\mu r_L}$$
, $r_L=\gamma r_0$

Streaming Instability



Resonance condition

$$k_{\parallel} = rac{1}{\mu r_L}$$
, $r_L = \gamma r_0$

Streaming Instability



Resonance condition $\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{\mu r_L}, \ r_L = \gamma r_0$

Compare bulk CR drift speed $v_{\rm D}$ with Alfvén speed $v_{\rm A}$:

$$\vec{v_{\rm D}}P_{\rm c} \equiv \vec{F_{\rm c}}$$
 $v_{\rm D} > v_{\rm A}$ waves generated
 $v_{\rm A} = \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho}}$ $v_{\rm D} < v_{\rm A}$ waves damped
 $v_{\rm D} = v_{\rm A}$ isotropy (no CR flux in wave frame)



no waves, no scattering $\rightarrow v_{\rm D} = c$



no waves, no scattering $\rightarrow v_{\rm D} = c$

but CRs generate waves via streaming instability, so

waves, scattering $\rightarrow v_{\rm D} = v_{\rm A}$



no waves, no scattering $\rightarrow v_{\rm D} = c$

but CRs generate waves via streaming instability, so

waves, scattering $\rightarrow v_{\rm D} = v_{\rm A}$

More accurately, the bulk motion of CRs is determined by

$$\Gamma_{\rm growth}(v_{\rm D}) = \Gamma_{\rm damping}$$

Evolution Equations

Mass:
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$$

Momentum: $\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla P_g - \nabla P_c - \rho \nabla \Phi$

$$\frac{\partial E_g}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (E_g \mathbf{v}) = -P_g \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla P_c - n_e^2 \Lambda(T)$$

CR Energy:

$$\frac{\partial E_c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [E_c(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_A)] = -P_c \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_A) + \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla P_c + \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla P_c)$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$

B Field:

Evolution Equations



Evolution Equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \nabla f_p &= \\ \frac{1}{3} p \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial p} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_A) \\ + \frac{1}{p^3} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\text{damp}} B^2 \mathbf{n}}{4\pi^3 m_p \Omega_0 v_A} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla f_p}{|\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla f_p|} \right) \\ - \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{v_A L_{\text{MHD}}}{3} \rho^{1.5} \nabla \left(\frac{f_p}{\rho^{1.5}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Evolution Equation

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{advection} \\ \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_A) \cdot \nabla f_p = \\ \operatorname{compression} & \frac{1}{3} p \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial p} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_A) \\ \operatorname{expansion} & + \frac{1}{3} p \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{damp}} B^2 \mathbf{n}}{4\pi^3 m_p \Omega_0 v_A} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla f_p}{|\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla f_p|} \right) \\ \operatorname{streaming} & - \nabla \cdot \left[\frac{v_A L_{\mathrm{MHD}}}{3} \rho^{1.5} \nabla \left(\frac{f_p}{\rho^{1.5}} \right) \right] \end{array}$$

Goal:

With the above simple physics, what happens to radio and gamma emission in galaxy clusters over time?

Method:

Spherically symmetric 1D simulations of CR streaming in individual galaxy clusters using ZEUS

Coma Cluster Radio Emission



*Observations from Deiss et al 1997 (Effelsberg 100-m telescope)

Coma Cluster y-ray Emission



*Upper limits from Arlen et al 2012 (Fermi and VERITAS)

Problem: Lower Frequencies



*352 MHz: Brown and Rudnick 2011 (WSRT)

Coma Cluster Neutrino Emission?



*Aartsen et al 2017

Coma Cluster Neutrino Emission?



*Aartsen et al 2017

Preliminary Coma prediction

Conclusions

- 1. CR distribution in Coma cluster is spatially very flat
- 2. Reasonable levels of wave damping can dim Coma's radio halo on time scales of 100s of Myr

Limitations

- 1. Assumptions made about *B*-field strength and topology affect results
- 2. Low frequency data cannot be explained
- 3. Gamma ray non-detections are getting more and more restrictive