



## Interferometric Techniques for Radio Impulses from Ultra-high Energy Particle Showers

Andres Romero-Wolf for the ANITA Collaboration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology June 12, 2014



#### Radio Interferometry is a Prevalent Technique



Radio detection of ultra-high energy particles is a newcomer to radio interferometry.

An interdisciplinary workshop was held at OSU in April, 2013 bringing interferometry experts across various scientific disciplines.



#### Radio interferometry workshop at OSU, April 2014





#### http://ccapp.osu.edu/workshops/RadioSim2/workshop.html



June 12, 2014 A. Romero-Wolf





## Application of Interferometry to Ultrahigh Energy Particle Astrophysics



## The ANITA Ultra-high Energy Particle Telescope



- Synoptic horn antenna array (200-1200 MHz)
- Circumpolar trajectory on a balloon.





M. Mottram, PhD Thesis

P. Gorham et al., PRD, 82, 02204, 2010



# The Expected Signal Askaryan Radiation





Blue includes incoherent high frequency emission (exaggerated by thinning) Red is the high frequency filtered pulse.



#### The Unexpected Signal Geosynchrotron Radiation



- Detected with ANITA in UHF band (200-1200 MHz).
- ANITA-3 (2014) will be tuned to detect hundreds of events.





## Interferometric Technique Developed for Ultra-high Energy Particle Radio Impulses



#### **Impulse Response**







#### **Geometric Delay**



- Beam forming combines the signals from multiple antennas.
- The geometric delay is the basic quantity that connects multiple observations of the same signal.





#### **The ANITA Array**





- ANITA antenna array observes the same impulse with multiple channels.
- The array is unusual in that the antennas are not all pointed in the same direction.
- The arrangement is designed for full azimuthal coverage.



#### **Beam-forming**



The signals from the previous slide are aligned in time according to the true geometric delay of the signal.

The directional response of the antennas does not affect the phase of the signal and it can be added coherently.







Global image of the coherently summed power for each assumed direction of the incident radiation.

The direction of true incidence presents itself as a sharp peak.

The diametrically opposed direction sees the thermal noise background.







Cross-correlations of an impulsive signal event.

The time-domain cross-correlation is mapped to incident direction via the geometric delay relation.



Romero-Wolf et al., arXiv:1304.5663





Example of a global coherence map derived from the sum of cross-correlations.

The true direction of the signal presents itself as a sharp peak.

The map is analogous to the dirty map of radio interferometry.





## **Beam-forming with Cross-correlations**

Examples of signals and backgrounds detected with ANITA.



Romero-Wolf et al., arXiv:1304.5663

ARENA 2014



#### **Angular Error**



The ANITA array has < 1-degree angular errors.

This is due to the ultra-wideband signals used in the correlation.





#### **Angular Error**



The ANITA array has < 1-degree angular errors.

This is due to the ultra-wideband signals used in the correlation.







The relation between the summed waveform signal to noise ratio and crosscorrelation coefficient reveals features that are specific to each kind of signal and background.













Thermal noise and carrier wave signal backgrounds both have comparable peak and secondary lobes.





## **Signal Strength**



The peak of the coherent waveform sum provides additional efficient discrimination between signals of interest and thermal noise.





## Imaging the Sun



Averaging events in a suncentered coordinate system reveals a radio image of the sun along with its reflection on the ice.

These images can be used to measure the surface roughness of the ice.

S. Hoover, PhD thesis Besson et al., arXiv:1301.4423







Clusters of events that pass all cuts provide locations of anthropogenic backgrounds.

Not all clusters originate from identified field camps.



## Imaging Anthropogenic Backgrounds



Average

Imaging of all data, including thermal noise, provides a complementary view of the Antarctic noise sources.



Romero-Wolf et al., arXiv:1304.5663

# Maging Anthropogenic Backgrounds











## A Real-Time Beam Forming Trigger for Radio Detection of Ultra-High Energy Particles





This trigger design needs to be a

lean

• At 2.6 Gsa/s, the sample bit resolution needs to be minimized.

mean

 96 Channels operating in real-time requires a minimum number of operations to achieve beamforming.

fighting machine

• This design must outperform the previous ANITA trigger approach.



## **The ANITA-1 Trigger**



- Dual polarized horns.
- Signals are pre-amplified and fed into instrument box.
- Signal chains split into triggering and digitizing.
- Trigger chain splits signal into bands, sets thresholds, and combines signals between bands and neighboring antennas to make trigger decision.



Cherenkov cone Trigger → to Global Trigger QR horn Freq (GHz) hold UHE 1GHz BW υ (0.2-1.2 GHz) interaction RF antenna to Data High-speed low-power ElectroMagnetic Sampling ADC υ Collection shower ~GSa/s ~MSa/s

Gorham et al., Aph, 05, 003, 2009



## **The ANITA-1 Trigger**



Design Drivers	Implementation
Low power	Tunnel diode and threshold crossing.
Protection against saturation	4 bands per channel Require equal threshold Tunable threshold.
High sensitivity	2.3 $\sigma$ threshold on each band Require coincidence in bands and neighboring antennas.
Manageable data rate.	Servo thresholds for 5 Hz accidentals trigger rate.
Linearly polarized	Analog rejection of circularly polarized signals using hybrids.



Antenna L1 trigger checks for coincidence with adjacent antennas to make final trigger decision.

Gorham et al., Aph, 05, 003, 2009





Azimuthal masking: better solution against blasting anthropogenic backgrounds.

New band scheme improved efficiency (50% efficient at  $3.3\sigma$  SNR).

28.5 days live time in a 31 day flight.

Added 8 antennas + new trigger and better flight path gave a factor of 2.5 improvement over ANITA-1.

Still no neutrinos... Shot ourselves in the foot on cosmic rays...

Design Drivers	Implementation
Low power	Use tunnel diode detectors.
Protection against saturation	Mask payload direction where excessive triggering occurs.
High sensitivity	3 sub-bands + 1 full band. Always require full band and 2 out of the 3 sub- bands. Trigger on Vpol only.
Manageable data rate.	Servo thresholds for 10 Hz accidentals trigger rate.
Linearly polarized	Vpol only. Go for broke on neutrinos.





Azimuthal masking: better solution against blasting anthropogenic backgrounds.

New band scheme improved efficiency (50% efficient at  $2.9\sigma$  SNR).

Added full ring of antennas.

Design Drivers	Implementation
Low power	Use tunnel diode detectors.
Protection against saturation	Mask payload direction where excessive triggering occurs.
High sensitivity	Full-band trigger only.
Manageable data rate.	Servo thresholds for 100 Hz accidentals trigger rate.
	Plans to add GPU for increasing trigger threshold to 1 kHz
Linearly polarized	Vpol and Hpol trigger independently.





#### **ANITA-3 Tunnel Diode Simulations**

#### Results

Flight	Laboratory Estimated Performance 50% Eff. SNR
ANITA-1	5.4 (measured)
ANITA-2	3.3 (measured)
ANITA-3 (Tunnel diode)	2.9 (expected)
ANITA-3 (Beam-forming)	2.3 (expected)

#### General Approach to Designing a Real-time Beam-forming Trigger







#### General Approach to Designing a Real-time Beam-forming Trigger









#### **ANITA-3 Phi Sector Geometry**






## **Digitization Examples**







Bits	Levels	Step (σ)	Range (ơ)	50% SNR at 10kHz rate
4	16	2.0	+/- 15.0	2.3
4	16	1.0	+/- 7.50	2.15
4	16	0.5	+/- 4.25	2.15
3	8	2.0	+/- 7.00	2.3
3	8	1.0	+/- 3.50	2.15
3	8	0.5	+/- 1.75	2.65
2	4	2.0	+/- 3.00	2.4
2	4	1.0	+/- 1.5	3.0
2	4	0.5	+/- 0.75	4.4

Parameters chosen for earlier studies are optimal.

2-bit digitizers do not look like such a bad alternative if need be.





## Integrate the power over a given time window W.





## General Approach to Designing a Real-time Beam-forming Trigger

Combine all signals from

neighboring  $\phi$ -sectors

Combine signals in each φ-sector, then combine with neighbors





Leaner



## **Trigger Efficiencies Using Coincidence Triggers**



3.5

Input SNR

4

4.5 5



#### Thresholds and Accidental Rates



#### Results

Accidentals Rate (Hz)	Any Single φ 50% Eff	Double Adjace nt 50% Eff	Triple Adjacent 50% Eff
10 <sup>3</sup>	2.4	2.5	2.6
10 <sup>2</sup>	2.5	2.6	2.8
10	2.7	2.8	2.9
1	2.8	3.0	3.1

#### Lean but not mean enough.

#### June 12, 2014 A. Romero-Wolf









• 50% efficiency at SNR of  $2.3\sigma$ 



## Sum of Sums Trigger









### SNR=2.0 $\sigma$ injected waveform



 $\Delta \theta$ =1 deg x  $\Delta \phi$ =3 deg power map

- 50% efficiency at SNR of  $2.0\sigma$
- Too many operations and expected power consumption for a first try at this trigger.
- Perhaps a viable upgrade after success if proven with the ANITA-3 trigger.





	Trigger Type	50% Eff. SNR at an Accidentals rate of 100 Hz
	Tunnel Diode	2.9
	Single Phi-Sector Trigger	2.5
<	Power Sum	2.3
	Full coherent sum (3 $\Phi$ -sector)	2.0

- Full coherent sum gives best results at the cost of added complexity.
- Due to development schedule constraints the power sum option was selected.



• Coherent waveform sum vs. cross-correlation.

50% Eff. SNR at 100 Hz Accidentals		
Power Sum	2.32	
Xcorr Sum	2.40	

- Robustness against CW.
- Effects of uneven gains and variations.



## Power Sum vs. Xcorr Efficiencies in the Presence of CW



CW source is from the same phi-sector as the pulse but random elevation and azimuth.

CW Amplitude (σ)	50% Eff. SNR at 100 Hz Accidentals		
	Power Sum	Xcorr Sum	
0.0	2.32	2.40	
0.1	2.32	2.40	
0.5	2.33	2.49	
1.0	2.41	2.50	
2.0	2.65	2.92	





Channel to 50% Eff. SNR at 100 Hz Accidentals Channel SNR Noise remains Variations (%) unchanged. Power Sum Xcorr Sum Overall 2.36 0 2 4 4 amplitude gain factor of the 2.36 10 2.45 pulse is varied. 20 2.36 2.48 30 2.30 2.35 50 2.252.37

> At 20% the results of each run varies by 0.05 At 30% the results of each run varies by 0.1 At 50% the results of each run varies by 0.2



## **3-bit Digitizer Development**



#### RITC

Realtime Independent Three-bit Converter

Second version has been fabricated. Tested at the University of Hawaii. ~52 mW power consumption.

~ 2 Watts for all ANITA channels.

#### Some other digitizers

- Analog devices ADC083000:
  - > 8 bit, single channel, 3 GSa/s
  - ≻ 1.9 W
- ALMA digitizer (0.25 µm BiCMOS)
  - 3 bit, single channel, 4 Gsa/s
  - 1.4 W [ALMA Memo No. 532]



Fig. 4. Die photograph of RITC, as fabricated. The die size is  $3.13 \times 3.13 \ mm^2.$ 



Fig. 13. A 2 MHz sine wave, as digitized into 3-bits by RITC at a sampling rate of approximately 510 MSa/s. Comparator threshold levels are chosen to be roughly uniformly spaced near the region of minimum comparator nonlinearity.

INISHIMURA et al., arxiv: 1203.4178



## Trigger Interferometric Sum Correlator Board















Pulse-phase interferometry offers highly sensitive techniques for analysis of ultra-high energy particle impulsive transients.

Implementation of this technique into a real-time digitization and triggering scheme can yield improvements in detection sensitivity.

Research described herein done under contract with NASA. Copyright ©2014 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.





## **Backup Slides**





#### Procedure

-Create Gaussian distributed timing offsets.

-Create evenly sampled noise + signal waveform.

-Use Fourier interpolation to reassign the

voltage of each bin according to its timing offset. (Slow, there is no benefit from using an FFT for this procedure).

## Monevenly sampled waveform examples



Thevenly sampled summed waveform examples



June 12, 2014 A. Romero-Wolf

ARENA 2014

# Wheven Timing Effect on Trigger Efficien

### For various single $\phi$ -sector accidentals rates



ANITA data recording digitizers, built with the same process, have  $\leq 15\%$  sample timing variance.



## Tunnel Diode Simulations (1): Trigger Setup



1 0 -1 -2 **Tunnel diode** -3 response: integrate -4 10 20 30 0 the square of the voltage over 5ns 45 40 period. 35





Tunnel Diode Simulations (2): L0 Trigger



L0 Trigger: If the diode trigger exceeds a defined threshold, the L0 trigger is activated for 20ns. This time window is defined by the maximum possible delay between the top antenna and the bottom antenna in a phi-sector.







## **Tunnel Diode Simulations (3):**

## L1 Trigger



**L1 Trigger**: Check for L0 trigger coincidence between three antennas in a a given phi sector. If coincidence is met, the L1 trigger window is activated by 5 ns. This time window is defined by the

60







## L2 Trigger

L2 Trigger: Require an L1 coincidence between two neighboring phisectors. In my sim, trigger deactivates for 50ns (20MHz max rate.)



Example of SNR=3.2 waveforms

Time (ns)

Diode Response (arb. units) o D B B B B

φ-1

mond you marked with

5 Ann

450

350

400