

## **Cosmic Ray Working Group**





Matthias Plum



ńska Katherine Rawlins

Ф

UAA

Reach out to us, we are happy to help!



Credit: Johannes Werthebach/NSF

#### Wiki page

#### https://wiki.icecube.wisc.edu/index.php/Cosmic\_Ray

- Completed & ongoing studies
- MC/data information
- Collection of theses
- Useful links, notes ...

### GitHub

https://github.com/icecube/wg-cosmic-rays

Analysis in the Cosmic-Ray WG https://drive.google.com/file/d/154BDgi\_UAYimsygurSMUVWgXHU55tr18/view

## **CR-WG resources**

#### Analysis in the Cosmic-Ray Working Group (CR-WG)

If you want to perform an analysis on IceCube data related to cosmic ray physics, there are certain requirements to prevent bias and/or to allow the WG and collaborators to review your analysis and comment. This document summarizes the CR-WG specific steps to perform an analysis. A documentation of the generally valid IceCube analysis procedures can be found at: https://wiki.icecube.wisc.edu/images/0/01/Analysis in the IceCube collaboration - 2021-05-10.pdf

General guidelines:

Phone calls on Fridays at 9 am CDT https://wiki.icecube.wisc.edu/index.php/CR\_PhoneCall\_Index Contact

cr-wg@icecube.wisc.edu 🙎



https://icecube-spno.slack.com/messages/icetop







### Extensive air-shower event



#### IceTop: The surface component of IceCube: https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.6326

## Signals & Unit





Each tank has 2 DOMs, with high and low gain PMT

- G Charge and timestamp for each DOM is measured
- Processing provides signal per tank
- $\textcircled{SLC} \rightarrow single tank triggers$
- HLC  $\rightarrow$  two tanks in a station trigger



Muon Spectrum of DOM(2, 63)

€ Each detector is different → detector calibration with atmospheric muons

♦ Unit of 1 VEM (vertical equivalent muon) → 95%
of the peak value from tank charge distribution

## IceTop and how we simulate its response?

### CORSIKA





250

500

-500

-250

250

0

x/m

500

Secondary particles from simulated air shower are injected onto the array

x/m

-250

-500

IceTop array is burried under snow → triangulation of the snow layer with snow heights taken from the South Pole measurements twice a year

## Air-shower reconstruction

Particles from extensive air showers leave signals at the ground  $\rightarrow$  these can be used to reconstruct properties of an initial cosmic ray: **impact point/direction**, **energy**, **mass** 

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Laputop

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Air-shower reconstruction

### Laputop

Pure Protons,  $\cos\theta > 0.95$ 

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

CR energy reconstructed from lateral signal distributions based on MC
Lateral shape slightly sensitive to mass

### **RockBottom**

## Air-shower reconstruction

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Cosmic ray composition

## **Ongoing studies**

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

In-ice distribution sensitive to CR mass:

- heavier CR  $\rightarrow$  more muons  $\rightarrow$  more in-ice deposition
- lighter CR  $\rightarrow$  higher-energy muons  $\rightarrow$  local large deposition
- heavier CR  $\rightarrow$  interact earlier  $\rightarrow$  muons more spread

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

### **Seasonal variations**

## **Ongoing studies**

Atmospheric muon rate depends on atmospheric density

Rates are sensitive to the kaon/pion ratio

atmospheric muons

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

S. Tilav, T. K. Gaisser, D. Soldin, P. Desiati, PoS ICRC2019 (2020) 894

#### Number of muons with E > 500 GeV

 $\log_{10} E_0 / \text{GeV}$ 

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Stef Verpoest, ECRS 2022

3

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

IceTop Ti Ti IceCube

IceTop as veto

In '20: 32 alert events and 3 were vetoed by IceTop → muon bundle characteristics under investigation

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Ongoing studies**

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

Veto inefficiency for down-going PeV tracks

Reach out to us if you are interested in joining CR-WG!

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)